IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

JOSHUA JUSTON SEIBLES,)
Petitioner,))
vs.) Case No. 1:13-cv-02810-TLW
J.E. THOMAS, Warden,)
Respondent.)
))

ORDER

Petitioner Joshua Juston Seibles, an inmate at the United States Penitentiary in Lewisburg, Pennsylvania, filed this pro se habeas petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 on October 16, 2013. (Doc. #1). This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges, to whom this case was assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(c), (D.S.C.). In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that this Court dismiss this petition without prejudice. (Doc. #15). Petitioner filed timely objections to the Report on December 19, 2013. (Doc. #17). This matter is now ripe for disposition.

In conducting this review, the Court applies the following standard:

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to the Court, to which any party may file written objections.... The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination. The Court is required to make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the report and recommendation to which no objections are addressed. While the level of scrutiny entailed by the Court's

1:13-cv-02810-TLW Date Filed 10/29/14 Entry Number 19 Page 2 of 2

review of the Report thus depends on whether or not objections have been filed,

in either case, the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject, or modify any of

the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations.

Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia, 791 F. Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992)

(citations omitted).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report and Petitioner's objections thereto in

accordance with this standard, and it concludes that the Magistrate Judge accurately summarizes

the case and the applicable law. Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Report and

Recommendation is ACCEPTED (Doc. #15), and Petitioner's objections thereto are

OVERRULED (Doc. #17). For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, the petition is

DISMISSED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

Terry L. Wooten

Chief United States District Judge

October 29, 2014

Columbia, South Carolina